App F IX 1

1946 47
(ml)

File No 5-46

Previous References
ml 5-46 (1, 2)

Serial Nos 46 47, 68, 69

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos four

Part B for January

SUBJECT

Appointment of a fitter and five light Carriers in Sea town municipality

L 10203—04 & 18·1·47

To

The D. C. Tuticorin

The Comptroller

Sanction No

VB

No A6 C. 525/45-46

Enc 1 file

4442

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District
Dated, Tumkur, the 19th Dec 1945

To -

J B Mallaradhya, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Re appointment of five light carriers and one lighting fitter for Sira Town Municipality

===

In forwarding herewith in original the records received from the President, Town Municipal Council, Sira, on the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows -

The President states that the appointment of a fitter on Rs 15/- per mensem plus C A Rs 5/- and five light carriers at Rs 4/- each per mensem was sanctioned in G O No L 918-9/M1 5-45-2 dated 9-8-1945 The staff were being paid on nominal roll basis since they worked for 16 days in a month (i e during the days following the full moon)

The Council has since resolved at their meeting held on 8-8-1945 that D A at Rs 8/- per mensem be given to the fitter and Rs 5/- each per mensem to the light carriers instead of Rs 4/- sanctioned in the Government Order referred to above He has also stated that as per audit objection, the fitter is not entitled for D A as he is not a whole time servant

As the audit objection in question was not clarified, the President was requested to intimate as to how the Council's resolution could be supported The President has since stated that though the lights are lighted for 16 days in the month, the fitter would be in charge of the lights and also entrusted with cleaning and repairing work

In the circumstances stated, I request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to the resolution of the Council for the appointment of a fitter on Rs 15/- plus Rs 5/- C A per

mensem

4442 ml 5-45

mensem and five light carriers on Rs 5/- each per mensem
The appointment would be made as per Public Service Commission Rules

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 19/12

1) Sahib worth a draft letter for appl as directed in W/B. person
29/6

2) Issued
30/1/46

TYPING
31 JA 1
GI

To the Dy Commr Tumkur Dt
no L 7803 dt 2-2-46
Requested him to forward correct & clear proposals

form 4
4/2

Letter no A6 C 525/45-7 dt 1-10-46 from the Dy Commr Tumkur Dt, furnishing the information.

3) The Dy Commr reports that it is stated by the PWD, Sira Town mtce that sanction of Govt accorded in G.O no L 918-9/ml 5-45-2 dt 9-8-45 includes amongst other things, the establishment charges also incurred during 43-44, which in turn includes the appointment of a filter on Rs 15-45 cat per mn & five light carriers on Rs 4 each p mn.

"M" 4) Kind reference is also invited to the letter

Serial No

"7"

addressed to Govt by the Dy Comm Tumkur Dt, which led to the passing of the Go 89-845

5) From the letter it is seen that no details have been given regarding the establishment, but it is simply said as Estt charges Rs 2521-2-6.

6) Sent for orders

12/10

7) The President's report does not answer all the points raised in this office letter dated 2-2-46 & the present letter dated 1-8-46, It was stated that the light carriers were paid Rs 4 each p m & in the letter dated 19-12-45, it is recommended that they may be enhanced Rs 5 p m. What rate are they paid Rs 4 p m for what basis? Is there a necessity for the Tahsildar to visit the villages? Similarly is there a necessity for the Tahsildar to raise it to Rs 5 each p m? At what rate were they paid during 43 mensis? What was the scale adopted during 43 mensis? Whether there been unnecessary delay in obtaining sanction? If there has been unnecessary delay during 1943-45?

8) Whether it has been recommended that the peon may be given a Dearness allowance of Rs 8 p m? Does this recommendation need good examination? Is there no D.A. paid to the Light Carriers? Pl enquire & get the above details

for 24-8-46

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Ml File No 2702/2 ✓3490 / 5 of 1946-47

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

9) Submit with a draft letter for appl
MLB 24/10

10) Destroy after 6 months

To The Dy Commr Tuticorin Dt
No L 5631 Dy 7-11-46
Calls for some information

TYPING SECTION 26 OCT 1946 GEN & REV SECT

47

Sent 9/11/46

Letter No A6 C 796/46-47 Dy 7-11-46 from the Dy Commr Tuticorin Dt, requesting sanction to the continuance of the services of 1 Light fitter on Rs 20+5 cot & five light carriers on Rs 5 each p m during the year 1946-47 in the Sanitation wing.

11) Information called for in this office letter No L 5631 Dy 7-11-46 to be awaited

12) This question will be considered along with the proposal already under consideration after the information called for is received.

13) Submit for orders
MLB 10/11

14) Yes please
11/11/46

15) Submit with a draft letter for appl
MLB 11/11

16) Issued
11/11/46

To The Dy Commr, Tuticorin Dt
No L 5816 Dy 13-11-46
Requests that the information called for may be expedited

TYPING SECTION 12 NOV 1946 GEN & REV SECT

Sent 14/11

3490 V 4381
L

Letter No A6-C.525/45-46 Dt 2-12-46 from the Dy Comm Tuticorin Dt, forwarding the letter received from the Pdt, Sura town mt cl furnishing the information called for

"7 The information called for from this office and the replies furnished by the Pdt, Sura town mt cl, thro. the Dy Comm, Tuticorin Dt are placed in a Juxta position

Information Called for	Replies furnished
From what date are they (light carriers) paid Rs 4 P m & on what basis	Each light carrier was paid Rs 4 P m with effect from 1-4-44 on a nominal basis
2 Is there a resolution of the mt cl on the Point	The above rates were paid on the authority of the mt resolution No 25 Dt 10-1-44 (Vide extract of the resolution flag "A")
3 Similarly is there a resolution of the mt cl to raise it to Rs 5 each P m	Rs 5 is being paid to each carrier on a recent nominal basis with effect from 1-9-45 in accordance with mt resolution No 13 Dt 8 8 45 (Vide extract of the resolution flag 'B")
4 At what rate were they paid during 1944-45	They were paid at Rs 4 each during 1944-45
5 What was the scale adopted during 1945-46	During 1945-46 the following scale was adopted on a nominal basis — One Light fitter on Rs 15+C.A 5 P m Three light carriers on Rs 5 each P m

P.T.O

3490 / 4381 L

5- Why has there been unnecessary delay in obtaining the sanction to the expenditure incurred during 1944-45

Soon after the resolution was passed on 10-1-44 a report was submitted by this office on 29-8-45 for sanction since then it is under correspondence

7 Does your recommendation to grant a dearness allowance of Rs 8 P M to the Jetties hold good even now

8 Is there no dearness allowance Paid to the Light Carriers.

* As the Light Jetties & the Light carriers are not whole time servants, the Payment of dearness allowance does not hold good as objected to in audit vide flag "C" They are not being Paid any dearness allowance.

Submitted with reference to Paras 7 & 8 supra

16/12 Sanction is also requested to the Continuance of the Light Jetties & Light carriers during 1946-47 "R"

"A" Sanction was accorded to the memo of an excess expr of Rs 1149/2/6 over the Budget provision under Lighting Charges during the year 1943-44 in Lieu Dawn No Sanction of Govt was specifically accorded for the Scale of establishment (one Jetty man 15 Lt Mn & five Light carriers on Rs 15 p m each) as stated in the D.C's letter dated 19/12/44

"B" It was seen from the records that the Firm had received on 4/8/44 that an establishment consisting of one mechanic on Rs 15-45 CA and five light carriers on Rs 37 each p m. might be employed for the lighting arrangements and that

Lines — It is now reported that the light carriers who each paid

for the reason why this was done apart

"A" from the regulation of the M.C.A. in connection with claims

for [illegible] that there was no legal work done 10/11/44 authorising the payment of wages

No Saturday [illegible] has been stamped

for the continuance of the arrangement

during the years 1944-45, and 1945-46

During these years, the establishment agreed

has been continued on the strength of

"B" the M.C.A. resolution dated 8/8/45

much confusion has been caused owing to a

the fact that Saturday [illegible] was not

obtained each year for the continuance of the

establishment & that the scale of wages paid

has varied each year, according to the

terms of Notices of the M.C.A. The resolution

dated 8/8/45 is also indefinite as regards

"B" the date from which the wages of the light

Carriers were raised to 85/- per week. It is

reported by the President that they were paid at

Flag Q that rate with effect from 1945 the action taken

by the M.C.A. has been objected to by the

Comptroller & Auditor General. It is now therefore sought

to the effect that the current daily rate of

and 1945-46, along with the continuance of the

establishment for night duty. The
disqualifications could have been avoided
if the Asst Dir Medical had been
a little bit careful

2) Questions regarded to be dealt with by
Office —

1) Continuance of the Lighter on Pilots in charge
of the lights on Rs 15-per-day and of the
five light carriers on Rs 4 each per
day [illegible]

2) Continuance of the Pilot on Rs 15-per-
day and of two light carriers on
Rs 5 each per day [illegible] (on
[illegible] days)

3) Raising the pay of the pilots to Rs 20 + 5 C.A.
and the pay of the two light
carriers at Rs 5 each per

) It is stated that these are [illegible] and
that no D.A. is being given to
them. But D.A. has been paid to the Pilots
A above may be [illegible]

) Observation that the rates of wages should not
have been varied without obtaining the previous
sanction of Govt. That sanction should have been [illegible]
every year, to say to avoid confusion and [illegible]

Office of the Mi
for Revenue
No. 532-25-4-1946
Date 2-4-46

1 2 & 3 in para 23 above may be [illegible]

2) New regn for 3 classes

[illegible] 7/1/46 [illegible] 7/1/46 Minister for Revenue 6/1/46 [illegible]

4381

ml 5-46

Dft for pl

7/1/47

sent with a draft G.O for appl

UNG
10/1

10/1/47

TYF [illegible] 69

1 [illegible] 47

To The Dy Comptroller
The Comptroller

No L 10203-4 Dt 18-1-47

I am to convey the proposal with certain observations

25/1

To The Dy Commr. Tumkur Dis A.7803/R

2-2-46

Sir,

With reference to your letter No AG e525/45-46 Dy 19-12-45 re the appointment of a Peon and on a pay of Rs 15+5 CA P.M & five light carriers on Rs 5 each P M in the Sira Town mcl, I am directed to state that the proposal made in the letter under reply is not at all clear as in G.O No L918-9/Mcl 5-45-2 Dy 9-8-45, sanction was accorded only for an excess expenditure of Rs 1149-2-6 incurred during the year 1943-44 under Lighting Charges and no mention is made therein about the employment of a Peon & Light Carriers & their payment or the number of them put in the nominal roll. Further, from the resolution of the said mcl Dy 4/8/43, it is seen that the mcl have approved of the employment of five Light Carriers on Rs 3/each & it is not clear how payment was made to two at Rs 4/- each contrary to the resolution. This discrepancy may be kindly explained. It is also stated in your letter that the Peon & the Light Carriers have no work throughout the month

AD 1/10.

Deputy Commissioner.
Tumkur District.

and as such, ~~Govt Consider~~ it is not clear why
to ~~em~~ may be continued to be made on
the nominal roll basis ~~after obtaining~~ the
~~sanction of Govt to their employment.~~

Having regard to the facts stated above,
I am to request you to forward correct
& clear proposals for the orders of Govt.
& return. The records accompany for reference

yr letter [illegible] has been examined in
& that the appointment of [illegible] Ganwa
after [illegible] Thaneck
[illegible] 15 + 5 & [illegible]
been functioning
[illegible] serving & ex [illegible] for say Govt
If so, a copy [illegible] Rev Deptt
[illegible] should [illegible]
[illegible] to the
[illegible] is necessary.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No L 7803/R Dated Bangalore, 2 2 1946

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Revenue Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur

Sir,

With reference to your letter No [illegible] dated 19-12-1945, requiring the appointment of a Fitter on a pay of Rs 15/- + [illegible] and five light carriers on Rs 5/- each in the Sira Town Municipality, I am directed to state that the proposal made in the letter under reply is not at all clear. In Government Order No F 513-6/ 1[illegible]-45-2 dated 9th August 1945, sanction was accorded only for an excess expenditure of Rs 1,1[illegible]-2-0 incurred during the year 1943-44 under Lighting charges in the Municipality and no mention is made therein about the entertainment of a Fitter and Light Carriers and their scale of allowances on their payment on the basis of a nominal roll. ~~Your~~ ~~your~~ No ~~order~~ also is made in the correspondence ~~their~~ Further, from the resolution of the said municipal council dated 4th August 1943 it is seen that the municipal council has approved of the employment of five light carriers on Rs 3/- each and it is not clear how payment was made to them at Rs 4/- each per. contrary to the resolution, and who is responsible for such excess payment. This discrepancy may be kindly explained. It is also stated in your letter that the fitter and the light carriers have no work throughout the month and as such it is not clear why

AD.1/10. Tumkur District.

[illegible] payment to [illegible] be continued to be made on the [illegible] roll basis. It has been presented [illegible] letter [illegible] and five [illegible] dated [illegible] to [illegible] and to the facts stated [illegible] clergyman [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

for the [illegible]

. . .2

Ml S- 46 — 46

No A6 C.525/45.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

SECRETARIAT
2702
8 OCT 1946
L.S.G BRANCH

Enc 1 file

Dated, Tumkur, the 1st Oct 1946

From

MIR SAFDAR HUSSAIN, ESQ, B A,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMKUR DISTRICT TUMKUR

To J B Mallaradhya, Esq, M.A.,
~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXX~~F SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF MYSORE
~~XXXXX~~ Revenue Dept BANGALORE

Sir,

Subject — Appointment of a fitter and 5 light carriers in Sira town Municipality.

--

With reference to your letter No L. 7803/R dated 2-2-1946 calling for certain information on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith letter No H C.17/46 dated 9-9-1946 of the Municipal President together with enclosures in original and to state as follows -

The President states that sanction of Government accorded in G O No L.918-9/Ml.5-45-2 dated 9-8-45 includes amongst other things, the establishment charges also incurred during 1943-44, which in turn includes appointment of a fitter on Rs 15+C.A.5/- and five light carriers on Rs 4/- each per mensem The reports corresponding with the above G O are also herein enclosed for kind perusal

He further states that the expenditure in this behalf was incurred during 1944-45 in enticipation of Government sanction, on the resolution of the Municipal Council and that the expenditure was within budget allotment of the corresponding year. I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained approving the action of the Municipal Council

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD 1/10.

REV SECRETT
2490
9 NOV 1946
G BRANCH

No A6C 796/46-47

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
dated, Tumkur the 7th November 1946

From

Mr Safdar Husain, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, TUMKUR

To

T P Nallradhya, Esq, M A,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE

Subject Entertainment of one Light fitter on Rs 20/- +Rs 5/-C A and five light carriers on Rs 5/- each per mensem during 46-47 in the Sira Town Municipality

Sir,

In enclosing herewith the letter No H C 21/46-47 dated 18-9-46 together with enclosures from the president, Sira Town Municipality on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the president, Town Municipal Council, Sira has continued the lighting establishment consisting of one fitter and five light carriers during the current year also A reference to obtain the sanction for the continuance of this establishment during 45-46 has also been made in this office reference No A6 C 525/45-46 dated 20-9-46 and orders of the Government are awaited I request that orders of Government may kindly be communicated early, the president has this time enhanced the pay of the fitter from Rs 15/- to Rs 20/- and submitted the proposal for sanction of the Government for 46-47 He further states that the establishment in question has been continued during this year also at the enhanced pay anticipating the sanction of the Government

As the services of this establishment are essential and as it is reported that the necessary provision has been made in the budget for 46-47 the enhanced sale sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to continue the lighting establishment at th

at the enhanced scale as desired by the Council.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner,
[illegible] District.

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Section ML

File No 5. 46

Serial No 47

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 5631/Records

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

To

The Dy Commr, Tumkur Dt,

Sir,

Date of issue 7/11/46

Signed by

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No Ab C 525/45 dt 1-10-46 regarding the appointment of a Peon on a pay of Rs 15+5 as PM and five light carriers on Rs 8 each PM in the Sira town mofs, I am directed to state that the President's report does not answer all the points raised in this office letter No L 7803 dt 2-2-46 In the present letter dated the 1st Oct 1946, it is stated that the light carriers were paid Rs 4 each PM and in the letter dated

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02 4 —GPB—2,000—24 6 46

the 19th Dec 1945, it is recommended that they may be each paid Rs 5 P M.

I am therefore to request you to furnish clear & definite information on the following points:—

(1) From what date are they paid Rs 4/- p m & on what basis?

(2) Is there a resolution of the meeting on the Point?

(3) Similarly is there a resolution of the meeting to raise it to Rs 5 each p m?

(4) At what rate were they paid during 1944-45?

(5) What was the scale adopted during 1945-46?

(6) Why has there been unnecessary delay in obtaining the sanction to the expenditure incurred during 1944-45?

(7) Does your recommendation to grant a dearness allowance of Rs 8 P M to the Fitter holds good even now? &

(8) Is there no dearness allowance paid to the light carriers?

The records accompany.

I have etc

for Secy to Govt

11/8 Rev Dept

M/5-46-68

GEN & REV. SECRETARIAT
4381
6 DEC 1946
L.S.G BRANCH

No A6C 525/45-46
Encl One file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
dated, Tumkur the 2nd December 46

From

Mir Safdar Hussain, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, TUMKUR

To

J B Mallaradhya, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 5631 dated 7th November 1946 calling for information on certain points regarding the appointment of lighting establishment in Sira Town Municipality, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the letter No H C 21/46-47 dated 17th November 1946 in original received from the President, Town Municipal Council Sira for kind needful action

The records accompany

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 2/12

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Section

File No

Diary No of letter replied to

Serial No

No L. 5875

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

To

The Dy Commr, Tanjore Dt

Sir,

With reference to your letter No H6 c 796/46-47 B7-11245 in the matter of the Continuance of the services of the Right fitter on Rs 20+5-CA P M & five light carriers on Rs 5 each P m during the year 1946-47 in the Town mess, I am directed to say that reference to this office letter No L 5631/B7-11-46 dated 13-11-46 on the above subject and to request that the particulars called for therein may be expedited. Till then the impression is received, the proposal cannot be sanctioned. Please quote etc

Date of issue 13 11 46

Signed by Mr G Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

for Secy to Govt

Mil Dept

WD 02745—GPB—25 000—24 6 46

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Ab-J

Diary No of letter replied to

Section M

File No 5-46

Serial No 69

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 18/1/1947

Signed by Mr G R R

Read—Correspondence ending with letter no Ab C 525/45-46 dy 2-12-46 from the Dy Commr Tumkur &c, requesting, in the circumstances stated, sanction to the (1) Continuance of the Jetter in charge of the lights in the Sea town ~~Order thereon—No~~ mdy on a pay of Rs 15+5 CA Pm and of the five light-carriers on a pay of Rs 4/ each Pm during the year 1944-45 (2) Continuance of the said Jetter on Rs 15+5 CA and the five light carriers on Rs 5 each Pm during the

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the Press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

year 1945-46 (from 1-9-45) &

(3) raising the pay of the Sitter to Rs 20+5 cot P M ~~already the year~~ for 1 7 46 to continue the existing rate of the ~~1946-47~~ and to ~~pay~~ the fine repayment of wages light carriers, at Rs 5 each P M during the current year vide Order No L 10203-4 TE 5-4669 d 18/1/47

Sanctioned with the observation that the scales of wages should not have been varied without obtaining the previous sanction of Govt & that sanction should have been obtained every year, so as to avoid confusion & avoid objection

Copy

List of Enclosures

Kha Forwarded to Govt Rev Deptt

To Me By Comm, Tirunkur Dt (WR)

The Comptroller to Govt

Copied by

Examined by

WD 03696—GPB—30 000—2 2 40

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Spare

Read -

Correspondence ending with letter No.A6.C.525/45-46 dated 2nd December 1946 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District requesting in the circumstances stated sanction to the (1) continuance of the fitter in charge of the lights in the Sira town Municipality on a pay of Rs.15 plus 5 C.A. per mensem and of the five light carriers on a pay of Rs.4/- each per mensem during the year 1941-45 (2) continuance of the said Fitter on Rs.15 plus 5 C.A. and the five light carriers on Rs.5 each per mensem during the year 1945-46 (from 1-9-45) and (3) raising the pay of the Fitter to Rs.20 plus 5 C.A. per mensem from 1st July 1946 and to continue his services and also of the five light carriers on payment of wages at Rs.5 each per mensem during the current year also.

ORDER No. L10 203-204/Rnl 5-46-69

Dated, Bangalore, 18/14 Jan. 1947.

Sanctioned with the observation that the scales of wages should not have been varied without obtaining the previous sanction of Government and that sanction should have been obtained every year so as to avoid confusion and audit objection.

for Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District with records,
The Comptroller to Governmert.